

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 09/916,876	Applicant(s) REISFELD ET AL.	
	Examiner Kishor Mayekar	Art Unit 1753	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

 (1) Kishor Mayekar.

(3) \_\_\_\_

 (2) Dana Bigelow.

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 31 July 2003
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Applicant did not amend the claims below which were rejected under the 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph of 35 USC 112 by the examiner in the first Office action.*

**Claims discussed:**

29, 33, 36 and 38

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*to correct minor informalities in the above claims by an examiner's amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)